



# **STUBBLE RETENTION CASE STUDY**

*with Steve Ludeman*

---

**Submitted by:  
Lynn Macaulay & Kate Coffey**

**September, 2022**

# Introduction

Stubble Retention in the cropping systems of Riverine Plains was made possible with funding from GRDC and thanks to the Sustainable Agriculture Victoria – Fast Tracking Innovation Initiative. In addition, we are thankful to the Foundation for Rural and Regional Renewal (FRRR), and the William Buckland Foundation, for providing the opportunity to better understand key drivers in stubble retention systems through the publication of the research and farmer case studies to showcase the outcomes from the project.

The Stubble project was a 5-year program initiated in 2013. GRDC commissioned 10 projects involving Riverine Plains and 15 other farming systems groups/research organisations. It was dubbed 'The Stubble Initiative'. Each of the 10 projects focused on a locally relevant issue that impacted on the profitability of retained-stubble systems across a range of environments in Southern Australia. The projects aim was to develop regional guidelines and recommendations to assist local growers.

## Key Learnings

- Stubble management is not a key driver of yield – stubble management approaches should be considered strategic and flexible not a fixed element that has to be managed around. It is recommended to retain where possible but use tools such as mulching or incorporation to optimize the efficiency of the farming system. Only use burning as a strategic tool when necessary.
- Long stubble shades the emerging crop, resulting in a delay in flowering and maturity. Growers can use this to their advantage by sowing crops earlier into a longer stubble and still have them flower in the correct window, allowing the spread of sowing operations.
- Long stubble did not significantly increase the risk of frost damage in the Riverine Plains region. The likelihood of frost damage is directly connected to the date of flowering and is dependent on whether the date of the frost event coincides with flowering. Managing risk of frost damage by employing a range of sowing dates or stubble heights across a variety/paddock helps spread the risk.
- If full stubble retention is not feasible due to machinery, weeds or disease constraints, there are other options such as shallow incorporation, slashing straw or cutting short at harvest which can reduce the frequency of burning and address timeliness issues.

# Farmer

Steve Ludeman

# Location

Dookie

**Q. Describe your farming enterprise?**

100% cropping.

**Q. What is your usual cropping sequence /rotation? Is there a pasture phase?**

4-year rotation: faba beans and/or vetch, wheat, canola, wheat.

Adding pulses in our rotation has helped us with increasing nitrogen in our soils as well as providing a good chemical and disease break. The vetch seems to be the standout performer for fixing nitrogen from our deep nitrogen test results.

**Q. What value do you place on retaining stubbles in your cropping system?**

We place a high value on stubble retention in our system. The main reasons why include improving soil structure, raising the moisture holding capacity of the soil and from a management perspective it means less hours in the paddock either on the tractor or burning.

**Q. What % of cereal stubble do you retain?**

We aim to retain 100% of our stubbles. Strategically we may choose one or two paddocks a year to burn to help with pre-emergent chemical application. However, this year due to the high stubble loads we had to burn a few more paddocks. This also helped the soil profile in a few of our paddocks dry out and we have more nitrogen freely available.



## Background

With the help of funding through FRRR we are updating the outcomes from the Stubble Initiative. Back in 2018 we did a case study with Steve Ludeman, who farms at Dookie with his brothers Tony and Chris. Their farm has variable soil types, ranging from light sandy loams to clays to self-mulching clays and red volcanic soils. In this case study we reviewed how Steve's stubble management practices have changed in his farming system over the past 5 years.

**Q. How do you manage your stubbles within your cropping system?**

Our main issue 5 years ago when planting canola into a cereal stubble was the lack of nitrogen available to the plants. To mitigate the nitrogen tie-up, we are now applying 100kg/ha of urea on crops early post-emergence to help break down cereal stubbles.

**Q. How do you change your management style based on the weather conditions?**

If we have a good year with big stubbles we will burn a few more paddocks, this also helps the paddock dry out faster if we have had high summer rainfall like last year. This is vital to allow us to sow the following seasons crops on time.

**Q. What is your threshold for any change in management?**

We haven't got one at the moment. If we did have one, last year's wheat crops averaging 8t/ha, would have exceeded it. I would say 6.5-7t/ha would be where we would have to look at changing our management. Last year we slashed the stubbles but ended up burning the trash in more paddocks than we would have if we didn't have so much summer rainfall.

**Q. What height do you harvest your cereals at?**

We harvest at a maximum height of 300mm in our cereal crops. If we need to lift up due to high stubble loads, we harvest at 500mm and then slash down to 250mm later, before sowing.

**Q. How do you manage your stubbles over summer and before sowing?**

Slash, burn if required, or they are sown straight into.



**Q. What is your set up for sowing?**

We have a positive parallelogram system which has depth gauge wheels and coulters at the front, followed by a fertiliser tyne and a seed placement closing tool, then coil press wheels at the back. The seeder has 330mm spacings. We also have a steerable John Deere hitch. In the past we sowed inter-row however we found this was only 75% successful when sowing through stubble and lead to a few establishment issues. We now sow wheat on a 7-degree angle to alleviate these issues.

**Q. Have you had to invest in new equipment to help manage your stubble or are you planning to in the future?**

Currently we are hiring a slasher to mulch our stubbles down after harvest. If we decide that it is the right direction for our farming system, we will purchase one.

**Q. Has your approach to stubble management changed over the past 10 years?**

Yes, we now retain much more stubble than we did 10 years ago.

**Q. If you have moved to no-till full stubble retention, what benefits have you seen?**

Soil structure on most soil types have improved.

Another benefit is the higher soil moisture conservation under the retained stubbles, which provide cover over the soil in the normally, hot, and dry summer months.

**Q. What are the drawbacks to stubble retention?**

Spending more on fertiliser to break down the stubbles, we find it takes a few years before we get the payback from this.

We still have major concerns about frost events.

Pests were a major issue this year, exacerbated by the wetter summer months. We had slugs, slaters, earwigs and mice to a lesser degree. Slugs did the most damage in canola following wheat however we also had damage in wheat following faba beans.

In some paddocks we had to bait up to three times which is an expensive exercise.

We find weeds manageable as we are starting from a low weed threshold.

**Q. Have you observed any changes in infiltration, soil structure or soil carbon levels as a result of retaining stubbles over time?**

Soil structure has improved through retaining our stubbles. With regards to soil carbon, it is, in my opinion, too early to say. There is a lot of work that needs to be done and the goal posts seem to be shifted regularly.

**Q. What do you feel has been one of the greatest learnings to come out of the Stubble project work for the Riverine Plains region?**

The greatest learnings that we have taken from the stubble project is the improvement of soil structure through the addition of organic matter from stubble retention. This helps improve the water holding capacity of the soil, allowing greater establishment and plant available water if there is very little rainfall over summer prior to sowing.

## Summary

- Strategic burning is a useful tool to have, especially when stubble loads are high.
- Accurate GPS systems are vital for inter-row sowing operations which allow the farmers to sow through their stubbles from the previous year
- Different methods of sowing/seeder bars result in different thresholds for change in terms of maximum stubble load that can be retained
- There are some drawbacks associated with stubble retention that may be the reason why some farmers are slow to adopt
  - Poor weed control from pre-emergent herbicide application
  - Still the perception that retaining stubble increases your risk of frost damage
  - Higher risk of pests e.g. slugs, slaters, mice, earwigs
  - Nitrogen tie-up – it is expensive to apply extra fertiliser required and payback is slow
  - Poor establishment due to early shading, particularly for canola sown into cereal stubble.
- It is much easier to retain stubbles in lower rainfall years and the major benefits of doing this then is the conservation of moisture and prevention of soil erosion.
- Stubble management practices to help with high stubble loads include harvesting cereal crops at a lower height or slashing straw post-harvest.

## Gaps/Barriers to Progress

- To what extent does stubble retention help improve soil carbon levels
- Wet summers lead to higher pest populations on retained stubbles – how can we manage these higher pest population efficiently to ensure productivity levels can be maintained?

Supported by -



**FRRR**

Foundation for Rural  
Regional Renewal